# Quantitative Analysis of Brain Structure

Roger P. Woods, M.D.

# Learning Objectives

- Limitations and biases of MRI based quantification
- Managing human biases while utilizing their anatomic expertise
- Anatomic models and their assumptions
- The non-Euclidean nature of shape



### What causes brain size variation in normal subjects?



Bartley AJ, Jones DW, Weinberger DR. Brain 1997;120:257-269







In order to measure the capacity of a cranium, the foramina were first stopped with cotton, and the cavity was then filled with white pepper seed\* poured into the foramen magnum until it reached the surface, and pressed down with the finger until the skull would receive no more.

\*White pepper seed was selected on account of its spherical form, its hardness, and the size of the grains. It was also sifted to render the equality still greater



The material used for filling the skull, as there directed, was white pepper seed, which was chosen on acount of its spheroidal form, and general uniformity of size. Finding, however, that considerable variation occurred in successive measurements of the skull, I substituted leaden shot one tenth of an inch in diameter, in place of the seeds. The skull must be completely filled by skaking it while the shot is poured in at the foramen magnum, into which the figure must be frequently pressed for the same purpose, until the sinuosities will receive no more.



**Fig. 4.** Change in mean cranial capacity from *Crania Americana* (1839) to *Catalogue of Skulls of Man and the Inferior Animals* (1849).



Six-millimeter diameter (0.1 cm3) solid precision molded non-compressible acrylic balls were poured into the foramen magnum until the balls would no longer flow freely into the cranium ... To avoid unconscious packing of the acrylic balls, the measurer would not place their fingers into the neurocranium to pack or push on the balls, but only shake intermittently.

Lewis et al. PLoS Biol 2011;9(6): e1001071



"In general, then, our measurement method yields cranial capacities that are circa 50 cm3 less than, or are on average 96% of, those produced by Morton's."

"Biased Scientists Are Inevitable, Biased Results Are Not"

Lewis et al. PLoS Biol 2011:9(6): e1001071



Table 1. Densities of randomly packed sphere	Table 1.	Densities	of	randomly	packed	spheres
--	----------	-----------	----	----------	--------	---------

	Friction <sup>†</sup>		Loose	Close packed	
	$\mu_{f s}$	$\mu_{\mathbf{k}}$			
Steel balls	$0 \cdot 2_4$	$0.1_{7}$	$0.60^{8}$	$0.63_{8}$	
Steel (in oil)	$0 \cdot 2_4$	$0 \cdot 1_{7}$	0.611	$0.63_{6}$	
Plexiglass (polished)	$0 \cdot 4_4$	$0 \cdot 2_3$	$0.60_{5}$	0·63 <sub>6</sub>	
Nylon (ground)	$0.6^{3}$	$0 \cdot 2_{5}$	$0 \cdot 57_5$	$0.62_{9}$	

#### Scott GD, Kilgour DM. Brit J Appl Phys 1969;2:863-866



Mann et al. Physical Review Letters 2005:19801





Zilles et al. Neuroimage 2001;13:262-271

### Brain Size and Sex



Falk D, et al. Journal of Human Evolution 1999;36:233-238 Ho K, et al. Arch Pathol Lab Med 1980;104:640-645.

# Will Rogers Phenomenon

 "When the Okies left Oklahoma and went to California, the average intelligence of both states went up."

Feinstein, et al. NEJM 1985;312:1604-1608



Tower DB. Journal of Comparative Neurology 1954;101:19-46



Jerison, HJ. The American Naturalist 1969;103:575-588.

# Brain Size and Height: Single Population



#### Height in cm

**FIG. 4.** Brain weight as function of height, ages 28–41, Pakkenberg and Voigt (1964) study. Females, r = -.1 (ns); males, r = .1 (ns).

#### Peters M et al. Brain Cogn 1998;37(2):254-85.

# Brain Size and Height Across Populations



Peters M et al. Brain Cogn 1998;37(2):254-85.

# Brain/body in humans (WM)



Ho K, et al. Arch Pathol Lab Med 1980;104:640-645.



Leroi AM. The Daily Telegraph, London August 1, 2006

# Clinical Microcephaly

- Head circumference more than 3 standard deviations below the mean for age and sex (other cutoffs used in some instances)
- Causes divided into genetic (primary) and non-genetic (secondary)
- Typically, but not always, associated with mental retardation

# Potential Cellular Mechanisms

- Too few cells generated
- Too many cells lost
- Smaller cells
- Reduced extracellular volume

### Genetic Microcephaly • Autosomal dominant

- Autosomal recessive (most common)
- X-linked
- Chromosomal syndromes
  - e.g., trisomy 21, trisomy 18, 5p- deletion
- Other genetic or chromosomal syndromes
  - e.g., Cornelia de Lange, Rubinstein-Taybi, Smith-Lemli-Opitz (>500 entries in OMIM)



Welker W. In: Jones EG & Peters A. Cerebral Cortex Volume 8B 1990, Plenum Press, New York Hypothesis: Variations in Primary Microcephaly Genes Cause Normal Brain size Variation

- No data regarding DNA content of large versus small normal brains
- No reports of brain size in parents of patients with recessive microcephaly

# Microcephalin (MCPHI)



BRCTI, BRCT2 and BRCT3 are BRCAI Cterminal domains involved in DNA-protein and protein-protein interactions

Wang Y, Su B. Human Molecular Genetics 2004;13:1131-1137

### MCPHI

-		INCT 2 EX.08.5 EX.09.5	
Haplotype	Court	ADd HETERSONSTOTION AND AND ADDRESS TO ADDRESS TO ADDRESS TO ADDRESS ADDRE	
10,000		THERE SHEEPS AT MENALTHEARD TO BE AND THE ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS AT A DRESS AND THE ADDRESS AT A DRESS AT A	
14 802			
10.000	1.	and a second	
10 10 10	14		
1. 1.			
10.007	12		
10.000	1	5 B B F F	
0.007	1		
ALC: N			uman
10.0013	1	1. 0	a dest strated a
10,112		and the second second mean and the momentum of the momentum of the second second second second second second se	
40913	4	en e	
80918		an annual an	
NEWER	1	and a commentation of the Research and Research and the second state and the second	
ALC: N		1 1 1 1 to a second advantage of the second	
10,002			
10.000			
10.000			
10.0014	1		
100413	ī.	1	
181922	1		
WIND	5	the second se	
1209102		the state of the second st	
CHERT	1	the second s	
CONTRACT		Contraction of the second se	irrent
CONTROL OF			near
CONTRACTOR		A	DC
TARKS .	-		
a second s	- Sec.	AP NELL VIEW STATE BOARD AND A STATE DOORS - YEAR STATE FILST	
AMARK2	1	17 92. S. K.K. K. R. T. B. J. S. W. S. F. IS W. UHRS S.	
1000022	1	LT NE S	
CRUMPING ST		1.F. St. S. L. R.D. G. S. T	
00.010		t mean data is an a transition of the second s	esser
· TRACT		THE R. LEWIS CO. L. M. LEWIS CO. L.	woods.
· · · · · · ·		All the second sec	DC
word		the set is a set of the set of th	-
ALC: NO	1.1	TIME STATE AND AND A ME AND AND AND AND AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS AND ADDRESS ADDRES	
Ph. March		CIALS IN THE . A ST. DIMINENT WITH A LOUIS AND AND A CONTRACT THE ALL OF A DAMAGE OF A LOUIS A	
11.0		TINT & MR. AND DUPLATE SHITE VIET OF WE LLE CALINELING CITE BURG DUP DE CALE P	
40.000	1.1	I TT 10 DAR WAS STUDIED TO I NOW ANT STREETS AND A LIDE RANGE WAS DELIVED AND A LIDE A	h
81.53.)		1 1 F A.M. MAR. M. A. T. OT M.T.A. VIN	14
108		1 1.7 W FOR	orld
Alwest -	X	E TE E TEE, BAD BETE THE AN E TO E E OL, ONLE CREMENTED LEVEL STOLE , MAR, MA, KILL & AVE C	
ALC: N		A TH A DIA A NOTATIA TA TO D WILL CALONIA, PROVIDE THAN A TRUE IS A REAL AND A SUCCESSION OF M	lonkey
1001	10.0	E TP 1 THE R M M REPAIRS THE THE T W I S OF THE T W GAVE THE T BALL ST IN THE RES I STATE T	
and a second	1	The second	
10.00			

Wang Y, Su B. Human Molecular Genetics 2004;13:1131-1137

### **MCPHI** Selection



Evans PD, et al. Human Molecular Genetics 2004;13:1139-1145

### ASPM

#### abnormal spindle-like microcephaly associated



#### Bond J, et al. Nature Genetics 2002;32:316-320

### **ASPM Selection**



#### Evans PD, et al. Human Molecular Genetics 2004: I 3:489-494

### MCPHI D Distribution



### Evans PD, et al. Science 2005;309:1717-1720.

### MCPHI Introgression



#### Evans PD, et al., PNAS 2006;103:18178-83



Roth G, Dicke U. Trends in Cognitive Sciences 2005;9:250-257



### ASPM



### Mekel-Bobrov N, et al. Science 2005:309:1720-1722.

8	MultiTracer	File E	Edit Contours	Analyze	Slice	Orient	Intensity	Voxels	Window		
00	O /Users/	/roger/Da	ataSets/ICBM/001	00/native/T	lcropped	ł	0	0.0	146 X 0		( ldgal
							0		132 Y		
									Image Int	ensity	
			cerebellum	•			=		Master	1 5	
		C	- 94	+			5	Valu	ies < 0	are blac	ĸ
Tra	ce Edit 1	Trace	Erase Here	Replace	Here	Wa	ind Sc	ale. 2.5		0	
			Magnify by: 2.0						📃 Use N	laster	

#### FM FM FM FM FM FM

Brain Volume (cc)

Caucasian Asian Hispanic



Woods RP, et al. Hum Mol Genet 2006:15:2025-2029.

### **Recessive Microcephaly**

- MCPHI (microcephalin 8p23) chromosomal condensation and DNA repair
- MCPH2 (19q13.1-q13.2)
- MCPH3 (CDK5RAP2 9q33.3) centrosome
- MCPH4 (15q15-q21)
- MCPH5 (ASPM Iq3I) centrosome (most common)
- MCPH6 (CENPJ 13q12.2) centrosome
- Primordial dwarfism (PCNT 21q22.3) centrosome

# Embryonic Neurogenesis



#### Fish JL et al. Journal of Cell Science 2008;121:2783-2793







#### Fish JL et al. Journal of Cell Science 2008;121:2783-2793

# Human Height QTL (LOD>2)



Perola M, et al. PLoS Genetics 2007;3(6):e97

# Non-genetic microcephaly

- Ionizing radiation exposure (8-15 weeks)
- Drugs: fetal alcohol, fetal anticonvulsants
- Malnutrition
- Metabolic: maternal diabetes or PKU
- Intrauterine infections: CMV, rubella, toxoplasmosis
- Hyperthermia during early infancy
- Meningitis/encephalitis
- Hypoxic/ischemic encephalopathy

# Environment and Brain Size: Nutrition



Winick M, et al. J Pediatr 1969;74(4):774-8.

### Nutrition and Brain Size



Winick M, et al. J Pediatr 1969;74(4):774-8.

## Brain Size-IQ

Meta-analytic results for in vivo brain volume and intelligence

Distribution	Number of studies	Sample size	Observed mean correlation	Mean correlation corrected for range restriction
All correlations	37	1530	0.29	0.33
Analyses by whethe	er the degree of range re	striction was inter	polated	
Interpolation	21	963	0.29	0.32
No interpolation	16	567	0,30	0.34
Analyses by sex				
Females	12	438	0.36	0.40
Males	17	651	0.30	0.34
Mixed sex	8	441	0.21	0.25
Analyses by age				
Adults	24	1120	0.30	0.33
Children	13	410	0.28	0.33
Analyses by age an	ad sex			
Female adults	8	327	0.38	0.41
Female children	4	111	0.30	0.37
Male adults	11	470	0.34	0.38
Male children	6	181	0.21	0.22

#### McDaniel MA. Intelligence 2005;33:337-346.

### Brain Size-Verbal IQ



Witelson SF. Brain 2006;129:386-398.

## Brain Size-Performance IQ



Witelson SF. Brain 2006;129:386-398.

### Human Habenular Nuclei at 7 Tesla



Kim et al. Neuroimage 2016, in press

Sub-voxel in-plane anatomy





### Alternatives to summing areas



$$V = \frac{1}{3} h \left( A_1 + A_2 + \sqrt{A_1 A_2} \right).$$

V(L, B, A, H) = LH(A + B)/2.



#### **3D Surface Averages**

Schizophrenia patients and Controls

#### Narr *et al*. *Neurobiology of Disease* 2002;11:83-95



Normal Controls
Schizophrenia
Patients

#### MZ unaffected co-twins and Controls





#### The BrainSuite workflow





Fig. 1. MP2RAGE image: a) first inversion D1, b) second inversion D2, c) T1-weighted image DW and d) estimated T1 map DT.

Pierre-Louis Bazin, Marcel Weiss, Juliane Dinse, Andreas Schäfer, Robert Trampel, Robert Turner

A computational framework for ultra-high resolution cortical segmentation at 7 Tesla

NeuroImage, Volume 93, Part 2, 2014, 201-209

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.03.077



Katherine L. Narr et al. Cereb. Cortex 2005;15:708-719

Cerebral Cortex V 15 N 6 © Oxford University Press 2004; all rights reserved







Joshi *et al.* Proc IEEE Comput Soc Conf Comput Vis Pattern Recognit 2010 Jun 1; 13-18 June: 475–482.



Jennifer L. Whitwell J. Neurosci. 2009;29:9661-9664



©2009 by Society for Neuroscience



Woods. Neuroimage 2003;18: 769-88

![](_page_58_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_59_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Picture_4.jpeg)

Cryomacrotome Atlas: http://labs.pharmacology.ucla.edu/ mellab/vervet\_atlas/

![](_page_61_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_61_Figure_7.jpeg)

## Vervet Research Colony

- Descended from 57 wild-caught vervets (29 females; 28 males) trapped on St. Kitts from 1975 to 1985
- 24 matrilines currently in 3rd to 8th generations

![](_page_62_Figure_3.jpeg)

### Vervet Genetic Map

![](_page_63_Figure_1.jpeg)

T-I Weighted MPRAGE sequence TR=1900 msec;TE=4.3 msec Voxels  $0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.5$  mm 9 Independent acquisitions, registered and averaged

![](_page_64_Picture_1.jpeg)

I Average

4 Averages

9 Averages

# Clinically Unsuspected Abnormalities

![](_page_65_Picture_1.jpeg)

Nine animals identified with major abnormalities

![](_page_65_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_66_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Relationship of Total Brain Volume with other Phenotypes

Scott C. Fears et al. J. Neurosci. 2009;29:2867-2875

![](_page_66_Picture_3.jpeg)

©2009 by Society for Neuroscience

# Committed MRI Phenotypes

- Total Brain Volume
- Cerebellar Volume
- Ventricular Volume
- Hippocampal Volume
- Corpus Callosum Cross Sectional Area

![](_page_68_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_69_Picture_0.jpeg)

Table 5. Summar	y of heritabilit	y estimates for	brain phenotypes

	h <sup>2</sup> * (SE)	95% confidence interval	Included covariates	$h^2$ (SE) after including a factor for log total brain volume
Total brain volume	0.99 (0.06)	0.87-1.0	Sex	NA
Cerebral volume	0.98 (0.06)	0.86-1.0	Sex	0.77 (0.08)
Cerebellar volume	0.86 (0.09)	0.68-1.0	Sex, weight	0.85 (0.07)
Combined hippocampal volume	0.95 (0.07)	0.81-1.0	Sex, weight	0.86 (0.08)
Corpus callosal area	0.89 (0.07)	0.75-1.0	Sex, age, weight	0.58 (0.09)

\* $p < 10^{-16}$ . NA, Not applicable.