What are TMS and TDCS?

- Noninvasive neurostimulatory and neuromodulatory methods currently used in human subjects
- Modern era of use since 1985 for TMS, since 2001 for TDCS
- Brain mapping and clinical applications are growing
- Mechanisms are incompletely understood
- Animal & bioengineering models remain relatively uncommon
What are TMS (magnetic stimulation) and TDCS (direct current stimulation)?
TMS and TDCS

- **TMS**
  - Faraday’s principle of induction
  - Brief stimulation period (~200 usec)
  - Induces action potentials in nerve axons
  - Repetitive and/or patterned stimulation can induce modulation

- **TDCS**
  - Polarization across brain
  - Long-duration 10-20 min DC stimulation
  - Does not induce action potentials
  - Modulates neural firing rates

---

Faraday’s law of induction (TMS)

- A time-varying current \( \frac{di}{dt} \) in a wire loop will induce a magnetic field \( B \)
- The magnetic field will induce an electromotive force \( \mathcal{E} \) in an adjacent conductor

---

What does TMS stimulate?

- Coil geometry
- Coil placement
- Pulse waveform
- Coil orientation
- Pattern of stimulation
- Frequency TMS pulses
- Intensity of stimulation
- Duration of stimulation
What does TMS simulate? Depends on coil

Circular coils

Figure-8 “focal” coils

Advances in TMS coil designs

Mini-coil

H-coil “deep” TMS


Tischler et al 2011, J Neurosci Meth

Boundary effects on magnetic stimulation

- Effects of magnetic stimulation depend on
- **Induced electromotive force (ε)**
- Charge separation on boundary limits
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Boundary effects on magnetic stimulation

♦ TMS stimulation is parallel to scalp surface
♦ Lack of radial component to stimulation
♦ Inability to focus TMS effects in-depth

Deeper TMS coil designs

What does TMS stimulate II: tissue boundaries

• Induced currents depend on tissue inhomogeneities and boundaries
• Sharper bends / shorter axons = lower thresholds

What does TMS stimulate II: axon boundaries

Brain Stimulation
What does TMS stimulate III?

- TMS preferentially produces trans-synaptic stimulation
- Compared to electrical stimulation, TMS responses are more variable and sensitive to both internal and external factors

Coil location: TMS hotspot and neuronavigation

TMS site

TMS produces different waveforms

Di Lazzaro et al.

Sandrini et al. 2011

Kammer et al. 2001
TMS effects depend on waveform & orientation

- Conventional
  - Single-pulse TMS (1 pulse every 5-10 secs)
    - Paired-pulse TMS
- Repetitive TMS (rTMS)
  - Conventional rTMS
    - rTMS Low frequency rTMS (≤ 1 Hz)
    - High frequency rTMS (>5 Hz)
  - Repetitive TMS (rTMS)
    - Patterned rTMS
      - Theta-burst stimulation (rTMS 50 Hz triplets at 5 Hz)
      - Quadripulse stimulation
      - Other

Common TMS study types

- Neurophysiology studies
  - Single-pulse TMS outcome measures (excitability)
  - Paired-pulse intra-cortical or cortico-cortical excitability

- Perturbation studies
  - Cortical perturbation (on-line, single-pulse or rTMS)
  - Cortical perturbation (off-line, “virtual lesion” or modulation)

- Modulatory effects of rTMS (e.g. plasticity effects)
  - After-effects of rTMS (neurophysiologic, behavioral, imaging)
  - Clinical trials of rTMS (single- or multisession)

Forms of TMS

- Conventional
- Non-conventional
  - Single-pulse TMS
    - State-dependent TMS
    - Paired-TMS or triggered-TMS
    - Paired-associative stimulation

rTMS types

- Conventional rTMS
- Patterned rTMS

Rossi et al. 2009

Kammer et al. 2001

Mills et al. 1992

Tings et al. (2005), EBR
TMS protocols

On-line vs off-line study designs

• "on-line" concurrent TMS/TDCS stimulation of ongoing process
  – Reliably (relatively) produces interpretable disruptive effects
  – Single pulses highly temporally specific
  – Can explain facilitative effects by models of competitive inhibition
  – Can yield measures of excitability over primary motor/visual cortex

• "off-line" rTMS/TDCS modulation method
  – (?virtual lesion)
  – Avoids interference of on-line TMS with task
  – Temporo-spatial specificity poorer

Common TMS study types

• Neurophysiology studies
  – Single-pulse TMS outcome measures (excitability)
  – Paired-pulse intra-cortical or cortico-cortical excitability

• Perturbation studies
  – Cortical perturbation (on-line, single-pulse or rTMS)
  – Cortical perturbation (off-line, "virtual lesion" or modulation)

• Modulatory effects of rTMS
  – After-effects of rTMS (neurophysiologic, behavioral, imaging)
  – Clinical trials of rTMS (single- or multisession)
Cortical excitability

- **Motor cortex excitability:**
  - Responsiveness of the motor cortex to stimulation
  - Represents influences along the *cortico-spino-motor pathway*
  - Attention, motor imagery, movement, learning, practice, action observation, emotions, afferent stimulation, drugs all can affect cortical excitability
  - Outcome measures:
    - Motor threshold,
    - Motor evoked potential (MEP), Mapping motor (muscle) representation, Input-output curve,
    - Cortical silent period
    - Paired-pulse studies

- **Visual cortex excitability:**
  - Responsiveness of the visual cortex to stimulation
  - Outcome measures: Phosphene thresholds

Motor cortex excitability

- **Motor threshold (MT):**
  - Minimum stimulus intensity required to elicit a small motor response in a target muscle 50% of the time
  - Can be assessed at rest (RMT) or active contraction (AMT)
  - Enables comparable intensity of stimulation across subjects
  - Outcome measures:
    - Motor threshold,
    - Motor evoked potential (MEP), Mapping motor (muscle) representation, Input-output curve,
    - Cortical silent period
    - Paired-pulse studies

- **Motor evoked potential (MEP):**
  - Motor responses in a target muscle evoked by TMS at a given suprathreshold intensity
  - MEP size and latency can be quantified
  - Most common measure of changes in cortical excitability

**Relaxation:**
- Peak-to-peak<50μV

**Facilitation:**
- 1-5% max. rms

TMS intensity and location in study of motor resonance during action observation

**Paired-pulse TMS can probe intracortical circuit excitability within motor cortex**

Fadiga et al 1995

Kaelin-Lang, J Neuro Methods 2000
Paired pulses assess inter-regional connectivity

Disorders with abnormal excitability

- Parkinson’s disease
- Dystonia
- Stroke
- Epilepsy
- Depression
- Schizophrenia
- Essential tremor
- Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
- Huntington’s disease
- Tourette’s syndrome
- Myelopathy
- Corticobasal gang degen
- Cerebellar degeneration
- Polyradiculoneuritis
- CNS demyelinating disease
- CNS tumors
- Restless leg syndrome
- Chronic fatigue syndrome
- Etc...

Perturbation TMS studies

Single-pulse TMS over occipital lobe can disrupt visual perception

Amassian 1989 (Handbook of TMS 2002)
Visual cortex processing is necessary for Braille reading in the early blind subjects

Cohen et al 1997

Perturbation TMS studies

Sandrini et al 2011

Repetitive TMS

Sandrini et al 2011

Offline conventional rTMS modulation of cortical excitability


Theta-burst stimulation

Huang et al (2005) Neuron

Back to TDCS…

Utz et al 2010 Neuropsychologia

Modeling TDCS


TDCS induces changes in motor excitability (MEP as outcome measure)


Effects of offline rTMS

• **Local effects**
  – Increase (decrease) excitability to normalize abnormal excitability (or other physiologic measure)
• **Distant effects**
  – Modulation of distant sites in a functional network (resting or state-related)
  – Decrease excitability to release inhibition in a distant area and achieve paradoxical facilitation (for example)
• **Cellular and molecular (neurotransmitter) effects**
  – Stimulate release (or modulate levels) of neurotransmitters
  – Modulation of signaling pathways and gene transcription

Virtual lesions and competitive inhibition

• **Left hemispace neglect**
  due to chronic right hemisphere lesions can be transiently improved with rTMS perturbations over left (unaffected) hemisphere
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Cellular and molecular mechanisms of TMS

• rTMS modulates
  – c-fos and c-jun expression
  – Possible BDNF mRNA expression
  – Dopamine, serotonin, vasopressin, others
• Effects may increase with daily rTMS

Arias-Carrion 2008
Common & other TMS study types

- **Neurophysiology studies**
  - Single-pulse TMS outcome measures (excitability)
  - Paired-pulse intra-cortical or cortico-cortical excitability
  - **State-dependent TMS and paired/triggered-TMS**

- **Perturbation studies**
  - Cortical perturbation (on-line, single-pulse or rTMS)
  - Cortical perturbation (off-line, “virtual lesion” or modulation)

- **Modulatory effects of rTMS (or other patterned TMS)**
  - After-effects of rTMS (neuropsychologic, behavioral, imaging)
  - Clinical trials of rTMS (single- or multisession)

State-dependency of TMS

Types of neuromodulation to probe or shape plasticity

- **High-frequency stimulation (LIF)**
  - Pre-stim-pulse: 1 Hz, 3 s
  - Post-stim-pulse: not controlled, not measured

- **Low-frequency stimulation (LTD)**
  - Pre-stim-pulse: 1 Hz, 500 s
  - Post-stim-pulse: not controlled, not measured

- **Theta-burst stimulation (LTP)**
  - Pre-stim-pulse: 30 Hz for 2 sec
  - Post-stim-pulse: not controlled, not measured

- **Timed-spike stimulation**
  - Pre-stim-pulse: 1 Hz
  - Post-stim-pulse: not controlled, not measured

Paired associative stimulation (PAS)

- Electrical stimulation of median nerve is followed by a TMS pulse over sensorimotor cortex.
- 90 pairs of stim-TMS are repeated every 20 sec
- Interstimulus interval 25 msec: facilitates selective MEP
- Linked to NMDA dependent LTP
Pair TMS with behavior (Hebbian learning)

Butefisch et al 2004, J Neurophys

Homeostatic plasticity (meta-plasticity) priming “state” before rTMS

Quartarone et al. 2006 TINS

FDA approvals of rTMS for treatment of medication-refractory major depression

Siebner 2010, Clin Neurophysiol 121(4)
High-frequency rTMS for depression

- Randomized sham-controlled multicenter trial for rTMS
  - Left DLPFC rTMS 5 days per week, 4-6 weeks
  - 10 Hz rTMS (120% rMT), 4 sec on, then 26 sec rest
  - 143 active rTMS, 134 sham rTMS


Can cortical modulation be directed to target specific symptoms?

- Motor circuit = motor symptoms
- Prefrontal circuit = mood symptoms


Magnetic Stimulation for the Treatment of Motor and Mood Symptoms of Parkinson's Disease (MASTER-PD trial)

- First prospective, double-blind, sham-controlled, parallel-group multicenter rTMS clinical trial in PD in North America
- Avoids medication side-effects and surgical risks
- Potential selectivity of effects (motor vs mood)
- Only multisession rTMS trial testing somatotopic effects of rTMS
- Realistic sham-rTMS conditions
- Rigorous safety and tolerability monitoring

Clinicaltrials.gov: NCT01080794

Magnetic Stimulation for the Treatment of Motor and Mood Symptoms of Parkinson’s Disease (MASTER-PD)

- Patients with PD for >3 years, both motor (movement) symptoms and depression (with current or past treatment with an antidepressant)
- Outcome measures: UPDRS Part III (motor), HAM-D (mood/depression)
- Locations: Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center (Harvard), UCLA, Toronto, Florida, Cleveland Clinic, Oregon, NYU
- Interim analysis: 450 patients screened, 71 patients enrolled, 58 with complete datasets
Magnetic Stimulation for the Treatment of Motor and Mood Symptoms of Parkinson's Disease (MASTER-PD)

- No significant differential effect on mood or motor function of real versus sham TMS.
- Multifocal M1 and/or DLPFC HF rTMS was no better than sham stimulation for motor or mood symptoms of PD.
- Sustained improvement of depression, regardless of stimulation status, points to universal benefit from study participation or from a perceived intervention.
- Transient improvement of UPDRS III indicates strong placebo response (perhaps related to salient electrical sham stimulation) preclude specific conclusions regarding multi-target rTMS efficacy.
- Better understanding of sham rTMS response in this particular population may help designing future efficacy studies.
Control conditions

Potential risks of rTMS

**Known Risks**
- Seizure induction
- Local pain and headache
- Hearing threshold shift
- Effects on cognition & mood
- Burns from scalp electrodes
- Metal in the head

**Theoretical Risks**
- Neurotoxicity
- Kindling
- Endocrine effects
- Social and psychological consequences of a seizure

**Consensus statement on rTMS (Belmaker et al 2003)**

- Those who administer rTMS should be trained as “first responders”
- rTMS should be performed in a medical setting with appropriate emergency facilities.
- Patients and research subjects should be continuously monitored
- participants should be informed of the risk of seizure and its possible medical and social consequences.
- dosage of rTMS should generally be limited by published safety guidelines (Wassermann et al 1998)

**Current consensus risk assessment for TMS**

- **Absolute contraindication:**
  - metallic hardware/implanted devices
- **Increased / uncertain risks by TMS protocol**
  - non-conventional rTMS including priming paradigms, long-lasting plasticity paradigms, multi-site TMS
  - Conventional high-frequency rTMS beyond safety parameters
- **Increased / uncertain risk by subject**
  - history of seizures, lesions of the brain, drugs that lower seizure threshold, sleep deprivation, alcoholism
- **Uncertain risk due to other events**
  - Pregnancy, severe or recent heart disease, implanted brain electrodes
- **No risk category**
  - None of above uncertain/increased risks
  - Single- or paired-pulse TMS
  - Conventional low- or high-frequency rTMS within safety parameters (intensity, frequency, train length, inter-train duration)
Comments about rTMS and neuromodulation
(Huang et al, Neuron, 2005)

- “The effectiveness of these paradigms raises ethical issues about the use of these methods in normal human subjects, who have nothing to gain from modulation of synaptic plasticity, in contrast to patients with particular neurological disorders.

- … so in addition to putting our proposed experimental methods before the ethics committee of our institution and gaining consent from subjects, we pursued the experiments in an incremental fashion starting with smaller intensities and lower frequencies of stimulation than those reported here.

- We found in all experiments that cortical excitability eventually returned to baseline, and no subject reported any side effects from experimentation.

- However, as methods for inducing plastic changes in human cortex become more powerful, such issues will require constant scrutiny and vigilance on the part of experimenters.”

---

TMS and TDCS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>TMS</th>
<th>TDCS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Relatively expensive ($50-100k)</td>
<td>Very inexpensive ($250)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safe but may induce seizures at high intensity or frequencies</td>
<td>Very safe</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Causes scalp twitches, tapping on head, may be uncomfortable</td>
<td>Causes transient scalp tingling, very well tolerated</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate-sized effects</td>
<td>Very mild effects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Induces action potentials and interrupts ongoing neural activity</td>
<td>Does not induce action potentials, modulates firing rate of active neurons</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repetitive or patterned stimulation may induce modulation of neural excitability</td>
<td>Modulates neural excitability (facilitation or inhibition depending on polarity)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Future directions and applications of modeling TMS and TDCS effects

- TMS and TDCS are unique noninvasive methods of stimulating the human brain
- Most studies
  - TMS/TDCS as modulation/perturbation to interpret behavioral, neurophysiologic, clinical outcomes; some effects lasting.
- Gaps in knowledge
  - Mechanisms of effect (more realistic brain models, effects on networks/connectivity, animal and tissue models)
  - Developing novel coils for focusing surface field (improved resolution) or deeper structures (greater effects)
  - Use as biomarker or surrogate marker for neuropsychiatric disorders of plasticity (not just function)
  - Predictive ability to predict response to potential invasive neurointerventions
  - Making TMS/TDCS as part of multimodal adjunctive treatment for neuropsychiatric disease